

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 9947–9959

# Preparation of macrocyclon analogues: calix[8]arenes with extended polyethylene glycol chains

François A. Loiseau,<sup>a,†</sup> Alison M. Hill<sup>b</sup> and King Kuok (Mimi) Hii<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, South Kensington SW7 2AZ, UK<br><sup>b</sup>School of Biosciances, University of Exeter Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 40D, UK <sup>b</sup>School of Biosciences, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK

> Received 10 May 2007; revised 30 June 2007; accepted 19 July 2007 Available online 26 July 2007

Abstract—A one-pot methodology has been developed for the preparation of macrocyclon mimics, i.e., calix[8] arenes containing hydrophobic alkyl substituents on the upper rim and hydrophilic polyethylene glycol chains on the lower rim. Compounds containing PEG chains of up to 24 repeating ethylene oxide (EO) units can be prepared. With increasing molecular weight, these amphiphilic compounds can be classified as macromolecules, and can be difficult to characterise as single molecules. The limitations of conventional analytical techniques are discussed. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the oldest diseases, yet it remains among the top ten causes of death in the World. Declared in 2006 as a growing global pandemic by the World Health Organization, the TB bacilli is estimated to be carried by one-third of the global population, with a rate of one infection per second and causes 5000 deaths every day.[1](#page-11-0) Tragically, it is particularly prevalent amongst the impoverished communities in developing and developed countries; largely due to rapid global demographic changes and the HIV epidemic, which allow the disease to spread quickly. The problem is compounded by the inadequate implementation of TB therapy, which led to the recurrence of the disease, as well as the development of drug resistant (DR) and multi-drug resistant (MDR) TB, $2$  fueling demands for more effective drug therapies to combat the disease.[3](#page-11-0)

In 1951, Cornforth and co-workers discovered a non-ionic surface-active agent that suppresses experimental tuberculosis in mice. This led to the examination of compounds with analogous structures as anti-tuberculosis agents.<sup>[4](#page-11-0)</sup> The precursors were synthesised by the condensation of tert-alkyl substituted phenols with formaldehyde, to afford crystalline substances, from which high-melting compounds HOC and HBC (High Octyl and Butyl Compounds, respectively) were isolated.

The condensation of HOC with 45–50 molecules of ethylene oxide under alkaline conditions produced a water-soluble

0040-4020/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2007.07.057

derivative, which was non-toxic and exhibits greater antituberculosis activity than Streptomycin. Given the name of macrocyclon, the compound was originally thought to be conformational isomers of cyclic calix[4]arenes containing glycol chains of between 10 and 12.5 ethylene oxide units. Subsequently, work by Gutsche and  $\cos$ -workers<sup>[5](#page-11-0)</sup> established that HOC and HBC are, in fact, calix[8]arene derivatives. Thus, the structure of macrocyclon has been revised to contain a p-octyl-calix[8]arene, flanked by highly substituted alkyl groups on the upper rim and variable polyethylene glycol chains on the lower rim (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Proposed structure of macrocyclon.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: [mimi.hii@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:mimi.hii@imperial.ac.uk)  $\dagger$  This work was F.A.L.'s postgraduate research, initiated at King's College London and completed at Imperial College London.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>More recently, biological studies showed that macrocyclon exhibits a novel mechanism of action that is different from drugs currently used against tuberculosis.[6](#page-11-0) This has reignited substantial interest in the molecule as an exciting therapeutic candidate for the treatment of MDR-TB.

In the original work by Cornforth, the length of the polyethylene glycol chain was reported to have a profound effect on the tuberculostatic properties.[7](#page-11-0) The objective of our project is to synthesise a series of macrocyclon analogues, with systematic variations in the  $p$ -substituent  $(R)$ , the terminal group  $(R')$  and the chain length  $(n)$ , such that the biological effect of each of these components can be delineated by SAR studies.

#### 2. Results and discussion

## 2.1. Synthesis of calix[8]arenes

Following published procedures, p-tert-butylcalix[8]arene 1a and p-tert-octylcalix[8]arene 1b were prepared on a large scale by condensing the corresponding p-alkylphenol and formaldehyde in a basic solution (Scheme 1).<sup>[8](#page-11-0)</sup> From 1a, the unsubstituted  $p$ -H-calix<sup>[8]</sup>arene **1c** can be prepared in 88% yield by a reverse Friedel–Craft reaction.<sup>[9](#page-11-0)</sup> On the other hand, O-substituted calix[8]arenes allow the attachment of PEG chains on both upper and lower rims. The corresponding reaction between p-benzyloxyphenol and formaldehyde required an elevated temperature  $(170 °C)$ , which led to an unpredictable exothermic reaction. This can be avoided by using an alternative procedure with a stronger base (t-BuOK) and a different reaction stoichiometry, allowing the reaction to proceed smoothly at  $150\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  to furnish 1d in 61% yield.<sup>[10](#page-11-0)</sup>



Scheme 1. Synthesis of various calix[8]arenes.

## 2.2. PEGylation of calix[8]arenes: reaction optimisation

The full functionalisation of calix[8]arenes at the lower rim can be dependant on the nature of the p-substituent, as well as the choice of base and electrophiles. The reaction outcome is not always very predictable and, very often, only partial functionalisation can be achieved. To date, the attachment of eight [n]ethylene glycols has been reported only once before, $11$  which was achieved in two steps, employing different bases in each:  $K_2CO_3$  was used in the first step to

furnish a partially functionalised macrocycle, followed by NaH to deprotonate the remaining phenolic units in the second step. Each PEGylation required 4 days, and column chromatography was required for the purification of the partially functionalised intermediate.

In earlier work, we observed that longer polyethylene glycol chains are unstable and are prone to elimination reactions in the presence of strong bases such as  $t$ -BuOK and NaH.<sup>[12](#page-11-0)</sup> With this in mind, we investigated the use of  $Cs_2CO_3$ as a milder base, which has been shown to deprotonate calix[8]arenes slowly at multiple sites.<sup>[13](#page-11-0)</sup> Consequently, calix[8]arenes  $1a-d$  was treated twice with  $Cs_2CO_3$  $(2\times16$  equiv) over 24 h to allow full deprotonation to take place. MeO–PEG<sub>3</sub>–X of 16 equiv (2a–e, where X=Cl, Br, I, OMs and OTs, respectively) was subsequently added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 days (Scheme 2, Table 1).



Scheme 2. PEGylation of calix[8]arenes.

The results show, unequivocally, that iodide derivatives afford the best yield of the fully PEGylated calix[8]arene. A slightly lower yield was achieved using the bromide precursor under Finkelstein conditions (Table 1, entry 9). The results also suggest that the p-substituent of the calix[8]arene ring exerts little influence on these reactions (entries 3, 6, 10 and 15). Subsequent examination of reaction stoichiometry revealed that the amount of electrophile can be reduced to 10 equiv (equating to 1.25 equiv of PEG for each phenolic unit) without significant erosion in yield. Further increase in reaction time and amount of base did not lead to any

Table 1. Effect of nucleofuges $a$ 

Entry	R	X	Product	Yield $^{\rm b}$ (%)	$mlz^c$
1	$t$ -Bu $(1a)$	OT <sub>s</sub>	3a	44	2488.7 (F)
$\overline{2}$	$t$ -Bu $(1a)$	Br	3a	46	
3	$t$ -Bu $(1a)$	I	3a	61	
$\overline{4}$	$t$ -Oct (1b)	OT <sub>s</sub>	3 <sub>b</sub>	37	2937.2 (E)
5	$t$ -Oct (1b)	Br	3 <sub>b</sub>	44	
6	$t$ -Oct (1b)	Ι	3 <sub>b</sub>	56	
7	H(1c)	Cl	3c	19	$2040.2$ (E)
8	H(1c)	Br	3c	54	
9	H(1c)	$Br^d$	3c	68	
10	H(1c)	I	3c	74	
11	H(1c)	<b>OMs</b>	3c	38	
12	H(1c)	OT <sub>s</sub>	3c	53	
13	OBn(1d)	<b>OTs</b>	3d	35	2887.1 (F)
14	OBn(1d)	Br	3d	48	
15	OBn(1d)	I	3d	69	

Calixarene (1 equiv), THF/DMF (1:1),  $Cs_2CO_3$  (2×16 equiv), electro-<br>phile (16 equiv).

b Isolated yields following purification by column chromatography.<br>c Observed molecular ion: F=FAB; E=Electrospray.<br>d KI of 16 equiv was added with the electrophile.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>improvement. On the other hand, replacement of  $Cs_2CO_3$  by other bases (MgCO<sub>3</sub>, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, KOH, CsOH, t-BuOK, NaH and KH) led to much lower yields (Supplementary data), suggesting that the presence of caesium is crucial, probably by exerting a template effect through complexation with phenolic and/or ether oxygen.<sup>[14](#page-11-0)</sup>

Compared to the previous procedure, the new synthetic method has several advantages: it is conducted in one-pot using a single base, effectively halving the reaction time. Furthermore, it offers a better yield, demonstrated by comparing the isolated yields obtained for compounds 3a and 3b [\(Table](#page-1-0) [1,](#page-1-0) entries 3 and 6), which are 2–3 times greater than that previously achieved using the two-step procedure (yields of 30% and 19%, respectively). The products 3a–d can be purified by flash chromatography on silica gel. These compounds were fully characterised by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, and MS analysis showed the molecular ions as sodium adducts.

## 2.3. Macrocyclon analogues containing  $PEG_{3-6}$

To access the target molecules, iodo precursors  $R' (OCH_2CH_2)_nI$  (2f-p, where  $n=3-24$ ) were prepared by the treatment of the corresponding monotosylates<sup>[12](#page-11-0)</sup> with potassium iodide (Scheme 3). All of these reactions proceeded smoothly in yields of  $>90\%$ .

R'  
\n
$$
R' = THP, n = 3 (f), 6 (g)
$$
\nR' = Bn, n = 6 (h), 9 (i), 12 (j), 18 (k), 24 (l)  
\nR' = PMB, n = 6 (m), 9 (n), 12 (0), 18 (p)

Scheme 3. Preparation of iodide precursors  $2f-p$ . PMB= $p$ -methoxybenzyl  $(4-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>).$ 

With the precursors in hand, a library of 30 PEGylated calix[8]arenes was initially assembled under optimised reaction conditions. Some of these can be deprotected to release terminal OH groups, giving a further 16 functionalised calix[8]arenes (Scheme 4, [Table 2](#page-3-0)).



Scheme 4. PEGylation of calix[8]arenes and deprotection of the PEG chain. Condition A (R=THP): HCl,  $CH_2Cl_2/CH_3OH$  (1:1), 4 h, rt; condition **B** (R=PMB): cerium ammonium nitrate (20 equiv),  $CH_3CNCH_3OH$  (4:1), 6 h, rt; condition C:  $20\%$  Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>/C, EtOH, 1,4-cyclohexadiene, 18 h, reflux.

During the course of this work, the choice of the protecting group was found to dictate the length of the ethylene glycol chain that can be used in the reaction. Hence, for the purpose of this discussion, the products are classified according to length of the PEG chain  $(n)$  and its protecting group  $(R')$ .

Unsymmetrical THP(OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>I were initially used to furnish calix[8]arenes up to 4500 Da, where  $n=3$  and 6 [\(Table](#page-3-0) [2,](#page-3-0) entries 1–8). Moderate to good yields of compounds 3e–l can be obtained and their NMR spectra can be recorded with good resolution, to enable accurate integration of the proton signals that reflects the extent of functionalisation. The integrity of the terminal THP protecting group can be further verified by  $^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopy, as the methylene signal adjacent to the protecting group ( $CH<sub>2</sub>OTHP$ , 67 ppm) shifts upfield to 62 ppm upon cleavage to  $CH<sub>2</sub>OH$ .

The THP ether can then be removed by treatment with acid, to give compounds 4a–h [\(Table 2,](#page-3-0) entries 9–16). Much better yields were obtained by employing nonaqueous conditions, to minimise loss of the water-soluble product during work-up. The structures of these unprotected compounds were characterised by UV–vis, NMR and mass spectrometry.

As was observed by other researchers, the purity of the products cannot be established by elemental analysis reliably, as they are prone to absorb atmospheric  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$ .<sup>[15](#page-12-0)</sup> In the present case, the problem is exacerbated by the presence of polyethylene glycol chains, which enhanced the hydrophilicity of the calix[8]arenes. Thus, to ensure homogeneity, the compounds were subjected to repeated column chromatography. Depending on the length of the PEG chain attached to the calix[8]arene, up to four chromatographic runs may be necessary to ensure the purity of the sample. The first column was to remove starting materials, followed by further chromatographic runs until a homogeneous sample can be obtained, as indicated by TLC analysis. The presence of any unreacted phenolic units was cross-checked by performing UV–vis spectrometry: PEGylated calix[n]arenes exhibit a pair of UV absorption bands around 270 and 280 nm. The addition of a base such as KOH deprotonates any unreacted phenolic moieties, causing a distinctive bathochromic shift to 300 nm[.7](#page-11-0)

Interesting observations were made during the analysis of these molecules by mass spectrometry: FAB ionisation was mostly incompatible with these compounds, as it caused many of the molecular mass ions to undergo extensive fragmentation. Thus, in most cases, ESI is a more suitable technique for establishing molecular identities. Even so, the loss of the THP (entries 1, 2, 5, 6 and 8) or ethylene oxide (entries 13–15) units was inevitable in some cases. The interpretation of the ESI-MS spectra can be further complicated by the occurrence of multiply charged ions and the formation of Na adducts. Employment of the MALDI technique failed to deliver a better alternative: In addition to interference of adduct ions, the THP groups are generally unstable, giving rise to fragmented ions in the spectra. For example, the spectrum of compound  $3i$  (M<sup>+</sup>=4097.5) showed the molecular ion as a weak sodium adduct ion complexed with  $\alpha$ -CHCA  $(\alpha$ -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid, used to generate the matrix), accompanied by fragmented ions in a recurring pattern of 84 amu apart—corresponding to the successive loss of  $C_5H_8O$  (dihydropyran) units [\(Fig. 2](#page-3-0)). In comparison, analysis of this compound by ESI-MS revealed a doubly charged

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield of the purified compound. See Section 4 for general reaction conditions. b Observed molecular ion. Ionisation technique given in parenthesis: F=FAB; E=ESI; ET=ES-TOF. c Calculated from average atomic ma

mass ion at 1979.0356 (Table 2, entry 5), corresponding to the di-sodium adduct with lost of  $C_5H_8O$  and OTHP groups.

Due to the high molecular weight, the assignment of multiply charged molecular ions obtained using ESI-MS can also be difficult based on calculated value of M<sup>+</sup>. For example, the compound 4h was detected as a doubly charged sodium adduct. A good match between relative isotopic distribution patterns of the observed and simulated ions indicates good monodispersity and purity of the compound ([Fig. 3](#page-4-0)). However, given the large number of oxygen atoms present, the average mass calculated for the anticipated molecular species  $[C_{208}H_{281}Na_2O_{64}]^{2+}$  (1924.4265) is lower than the simulated mass ion (1926.43), while the observed ion is found between these two values (1925.9253). This margin of uncertainty increases with molecular weight. As a result, an error of 1–2 Da can be easily accommodated within the resolution of the mass spectrum.

## 2.4. Macrocyclon analogues containing  $PEG<sub>6–12</sub>$

The fragility of the THP-protected compounds prompted us to utilise PMB and Bn protecting groups for the preparation of calix<sup>[8]</sup>arenes with longer  $[n]$ ethylene glycol chains (where  $n>6$ ). Moderate yields of the fully functionalised calix[8]arenes can be obtained [\(Table 3\)](#page-4-0), and the resultant compounds are more stable than their THP analogues for MS analysis (Table 2, entry 12 vs [Table 3](#page-4-0), entries 1 and 8). Nevertheless, with molecular masses in excess of 4500 Da, structural characterisation of these compounds by NMR spectroscopy became problematic—by increasing the PEG chain length to  $\geq$  12, the spectra were dominated by intense



Figure 2. MALDI-TOF spectrum for 3i, showing successive loss of THP protecting groups (84 amu).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 3. Observed (left) and simulated (right) isotopic distribution patterns for 4h (a doubly charged Na adduct).

resonance signals of the ethereal protons. As a result, the aromatic protons of the benzyl protecting group or the core of the calix[8]arene were obscured, such that accurate integration was no longer possible. More problematically, slow molecular tumbling caused the appearance of resonance signals to become broad and featureless (see <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 3y in Supplementary data). Attempts to improve the appearance of the spectra either by VT NMR (up to  $60 °C$ ) or by suppressing the ethereal protons' resonance signal, proved futile. The problem was even more pronounced in 13C NMR spectra, where resonance signals of tertiary and quaternary carbons are practically invisible or unresolved. For example, the bridging methylene carbon of compound 3v appeared as an extremely broad resonance signal at ca. 29 ppm (Supplementary data).

Hence, mass spectrometry remained as the chief characterisation technique for these compounds, in particular ESI and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, to provide the molecular composition of these large, involatile and amphilic molecules. Using the ESI technique, the molecular ions were mainly observed as multiply charged species, often as Na adducts. Where the analysis was complicated or ambiguous, MALDI-TOF was employed in a complementary manner, to provide singly charged ions. As before, the formation of

**Table 3**. Functionalised calix[8]arenes with  $PEG_{6-12}$  chains [\(Scheme 4\)](#page-2-0)

adducts between molecular ions and Na are common. However, in some cases, fragment ions resulting from the interaction with  $\alpha$ -CHCA were also observed<sup>[16](#page-12-0)</sup> (Table 3, entries 1 and 5). The loss of a methyl group from the highly substituted upper rim was observed for some compounds (Table 3, entries 2, 6 and 11). Not all compounds are amenable to analysis by both ESI and MALDI-TOF techniques. For example, most dodecathyelene glycol derivatives could only be analysed by ESI, except 4m, which was only observable using MALDI-TOF.

The PMB protecting groups can be removed using cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN), substituting the water used in the normal protocol by methanol, providing the hydroxy compounds 4i–n. For compounds 3t–w, a transfer hydrogenation protocol using Pearlman's catalyst,  $Pd(OH)_{2}$ , was applied for the removal of the benzyl group, whereas the benzyloxy groups present on the upper rim of calixarenes derived from 1d were also transformed into phenolic units, to give compound 4o.

Whilst the debenzylation works well for compounds containing up to  $PEG<sub>9</sub>$ , the reaction of  $3v-y$  failed to deliver any products. For the p-benzyloxy substituted compound 3y, neither OBn groups can be removed despite our best



<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield of the purified compound. See Section 4 for general reaction conditions. b Observed molecular ion. Ionisation technique given in parenthesis: F $=$ FAB; E $=$ ESI; ET $=$ ES-TOF.

 $\degree$  Calculated from average atomic mass.  $\degree$ Fragment generated from  $\alpha$ -CHCA.

efforts, including increasing the catalyst loading and prolonged reaction time (up to 3 days). We speculate that the physical properties of the compounds are, somehow, prohibiting the interaction of the  $O$ -benzyl moieties and the heterogeneous catalyst under these reaction conditions.

Yet again, the unprotected PEG derivatives 4i–o were much less stable towards MS analysis, as the spectra were complicated by adduct formation and/or fragmentation of the molecular ions [\(Table 3](#page-4-0), entries 17 and 18).

# 2.5. Macrocyclon analogues containing  $PEG_{18-24}$

Finally, attempts were made to introduce even longer  $\text{PEG}_n$ chains  $(n=18$  and 24). At this point of the synthesis, the reactions were extremely sluggish and decomposition of the elongated PEG chains became a competitive process. However, by employing the more stable benzyl-protected PEG iodides, the corresponding macromolecules can be obtained in low yields (Fig. 4, 9–24%).



**Figure 4.** Calix[8]arenes containing  $PEG_{18}$  and  $PEG_{24}$  units.

The compounds can be purified by multiple column chromatography. Addition of KOH did not cause any shift in the UV absorption band, thus supporting the absence of free phenolic groups. With fully functionalised lower rims, molecular weights of these compounds range between 7.2 and 11 kDa, whereupon ESI and MALDI-TOF MS remain the only reliable characterisation techniques.[17](#page-12-0) However, neither of these could provide the required molecular mass data. The propensity of these compounds to form multiple adducts prevented determination of mass ions by ESI-MS. On the other hand, very little or no molecular ion can be detected with MALDI-TOF. For example, close scrutiny of the spectrum recorded with compound 5b revealed a poorly resolved peak at 9093.33 amu. However, the signal was too weak to allow resolution of the isotopic distribution pattern. We conclude that these compounds possess certain combinations of physical properties (amphiphilicity, ability to capture small molecules within its cavity, high molecular weight), which allow it to interact strongly with the matrix, and affected its detection by TOF.

The same physical properties may explain the failure of our subsequent attempts to remove the benzyl groups by hydrogenation. The only success was the synthesis of 5f by the removal of the PMB group of 5d was achieved in a comparatively low 51% yield.

## 3. Conclusion

This work demonstrates that calix[8]arenes can be fully derivatised at the lower rim with [n]ethylene glycol units, to a certain level, by using a one-pot procedure. This allows the systematic modification of the macrocyclon structure, containing different substitution at the upper rim and welldefined  $PEG<sub>n</sub>$  chains ( $n=3, 6, 9, 12, 18$  and 24) on the lower rim. Benzyloxy ether protecting groups are much more suitable than pyran ethers, as they are more stable during the reaction and subsequent analysis. Beyond a certain molecular weight and chain length (ca.  $n>12$ ), the amphiphilic macromolecules are difficult to analyse due to their unique physical properties. Nevertheless, chemically distinct compounds that mimic the component mixture of macrocyclon can be produced.

Preliminary results of the anti-tuberculosis properties of some of these compounds have been previously communicated.[6](#page-11-0) New compounds prepared in this project will be assessed, along with related compounds prepared by our collaborators in a separate program of work, to be reported in due course.

## 4. Experimental section

# 4.1. General

Reactions were performed using standard laboratory glassware (dried overnight in a hot oven,  $T>100$  °C), or using a Radley's 12-place reaction carousel under an inert atmosphere. Commercially available reagents were purchased and used as received, unless otherwise indicated.

Calix[[8](#page-11-0)]arenes  $1a,b$ ,  $^{8}1c$ ,  $^{9}1d$  $^{9}1d$  $^{9}1d$ ,  $^{10}2a$  $^{10}2a$  $^{10}2a$ ,  $^{18}2b$  $^{18}2b$  $^{18}2b$ ,  $^{19}2d$  $^{19}2d$  $^{19}2d$ ,  $^{20}2e^{21}$  $^{20}2e^{21}$  $^{20}2e^{21}$  $^{20}2e^{21}$  $^{20}2e^{21}$  and unsymmetrical tosylated PEG's<sup>[12](#page-11-0)</sup> were prepared according to the literature procedures. NMR spectra were acquired using a Bruker AM-360 spectrometer (operating frequencies of 360 and 90.5 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C, respectively) and CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent. The peak positions are reported in parts per million  $(\delta)$ , <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were referenced to residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> in the solvent ( $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> 7.27), and <sup>13</sup>C to  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  ( $\delta_C$  77.00). Mass spectra were recorded using a Bruker APEC-III spectrometer for electrospray (ES) technique or a VG ZAB 2SE spectrometer for FAB (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol as the matrix). MALDI-TOF spectra were recorded by Mr. E. Samuel at the London School of Pharmacy, using an ABI-Voyager DE-STR mass spectrometer (using  $\alpha$ -CHCA as the matrix). UV–vis spectra were recorded on a DU7400 Beckman Spectrometer using a thermostated  $(25 °C)$  quartz cell of 1 cm path length. Samples were prepared as solutions in methanol. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer FTIR Spectrum One spectrometer. Liquid samples were recorded as thin films between NaCl plates.

Characterisation data for novel compounds 2f–p, 5a–f and 6a–d, tables summarising the result of reaction optimisation, including the choice and stoichiometry of base and selected  ${}^{1}$ H (compounds 3a and 3g) and  ${}^{13}$ C (compounds 3c, 3g and 3v) NMR spectra are provided in the Supplementary data.

For brevity, the terminology of elongated polyethylene glycol chains suggested in our previous paper $12$  is adopted here, i.e., the positions of oxygen atoms in the PEG chains are denoted by the mathematical shorthand for arithmetic progressions, e.g., 1-benzyloxy-35-iodo-3 $n_{33}^3$ -undecaoxapentatriacontane (2j) refers to 35-benzyloxy-35-iodo-3,6,9,12,15,18,21,24,27,30,33-undecaoxapentatriacontane.

# 4.2. General procedure for the preparation of iododerivatives of polyethylene glycols (Scheme 2)

Sodium iodide (5 equiv) was added to a solution of the mono-protected tosylated glycol  $R(OCH_2CH_2)_nOTs^{12}$  $R(OCH_2CH_2)_nOTs^{12}$  $R(OCH_2CH_2)_nOTs^{12}$ (R=THP, Bn or PMB,  $n=3-24$ , 1 equiv) in acetone and the solution was heated at reflux for 20–24 h. After this time, the inorganic salts were removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of  $EtOAc/H<sub>2</sub>O$  (1:1, v/v) and separated. The combined organic extracts were washed with water and saturated aq sodium thiosulfate, dried over MgSO4, filtered and evaporated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography. Full characterisation data for these compounds are provided in Supplementary data.

## 4.3. General procedure for the PEGylation of calix[8]arenes at the lower rim (Scheme 3)

 $Cs_2CO_3$  (10 equiv) was added to a solution of the requisite calix[8]arene (1 equiv) in a mixture of DMF/THF (1:1,  $v/v$ ) at 80 °C. After stirring for 18 h, another portion of  $Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  (10 equiv) was added. After 6 h, a solution of the polyethylene glycol iodide (10 equiv) in THF was added dropwise via cannula. After 3 days, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of 1 N HCl until a clear solution was obtained and this was extracted with EtOAc. The organic extracts were combined and washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The remaining oil was purified by column chromatography.

4.3.1. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50,51,52, 53,54,55,56-octakis-[8-methoxy-3 $n_6^3$ -dioxaoctyloxy]-calix-[8]arene  $(3a)$ .<sup>11</sup> Isolated as an orange oil, from *tert*-butylcalix[8]arene 1a  $(0.50 \text{ g}, 0.39 \text{ mmol})$  and I–PEG<sub>3</sub>–OMe 2c (1.06 g, 3.85 mmol). Yield:  $57\%$  (0.54 g).  $R_f$  0.61 (EtOAc/ hexane, 5:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  277 and 269;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1114 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 0.89–0.98 (72H, m, 24×CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.27 (24H, s,  $8 \times OCH_3$ ), 3.39–3.87 (96H, m,  $40 \times CH_2O$  and  $8\times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.93–3.96 (16H, m,  $8\times$ CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.81– 6.83 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 30.1 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.4  $(24 \times CH_3)$ , 34.1  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_3)$ , 58.7  $(8 \times OCH_3)$ , 69.8–71.3  $(40 \times CH_2O), 72.7 (8 \times CH_2O), 125.4 (16 \times C_{meta}), 133.8$  $(16 \times C_{ortho}), 145.9 (8 \times C_{para}), 154.9 (8 \times C_{ipso}); m/z (FAB)$ 2488.7 ([MNa]<sup>+</sup>), C<sub>144</sub>H<sub>224</sub>NaO<sub>32</sub> requires 2488.6.

4.3.2. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-octyl-49,50,51,52, 53,54,55,56-octakis-[8-methoxy-3n<sup>3</sup>-dioxaoctyloxy]calix[8]arene (3b).<sup>11</sup> Isolated as an orange oil, from *tert*-octylcalix[8]arene 1b (0.50 g, 0.29 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>3</sub>–OMe  $2c$ (0.78 g, 2.86 mmol). Yield: 54% (0.45 g).  $R_f$  0.53 (EtOAc/ hexane, 5:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  278 and 268;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1104 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 0.64–0.77 (72H, m,  $8 \times (CH_3)_3$ ), 1.04–1.26 (48H, m,  $8 \times (CH_3)_2$ , 1.41–1.59 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)_3$ ), 3.28 (24H, s,  $8 \times OCH_3$ ), 3.41–3.98 (112H, m,  $48 \times CH_2O$  and 8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 6.53–6.70 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 30.1  $(8 \times ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar)$ , 32.3–32.9 (40×CH<sub>3</sub> and  $8 \times C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ ), 38.0  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_2)$ , 57.4  $(8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)_3)$ , 59.1  $(8 \times OCH_3)$ , 70.0–71.3 (40×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.8 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 126.4 (16×C<sub>meta</sub>), 132.7 (16 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>), 145.0 (8 $\times$ C<sub>para</sub>), 153.3 (8 $\times$ C<sub>ipso</sub>); m/z (ES) 2937.2084 ([MNa<sup>+</sup>]),  $C_{176}H_{288}NaO_{32}$  requires 2937.0931.

4.3.3. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octakis-[8-methoxy-3n<sup>3</sup>-dioxaoctyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3c). Isolated as an orange oil, from calix[8]arene 1c (0.50 g, 0.59 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>3</sub>– OMe 2c (1.61 g, 5.89 mmol). Yield: 64% (0.76 g).  $R_f$  0.63 (EtOAc/hexane, 4:1). Found: C, 66.65; H, 8.00.  $C_{112}H_{160}O_{32}$  requires C, 66.65; H, 7.99%;  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  276 and 268;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1109 (CO) and 768 (CH);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 3.24 (24H, s,  $8 \times OCH_3$ ), 3.37–3.75 (80H, m, 32 $\times CH_2O$ and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 3.80–3.82 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2OCH_3$ ), 3.99 (16H, s,  $8 \times \text{ArOCH}_2$ ), 6.62–6.83 (24H, m,  $16 \times \text{H}_{meta}$ and  $8 \times H_{para}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 28.3 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 57.7 (8×OCH<sub>3</sub>), 68.9–69.4 (32×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 71.0 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 71.5 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 124.0  $(8 \times C_{para}$ , 128.8  $(16 \times C_{meta}$ , 134.2  $(16 \times C_{ortho})$ , 154.9  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z (FAB) 2040.8 (MNa<sup>+</sup>, 46%), 2018.7  $([MH]^+, 13)$ , 265.1 (6) and 103.0 (100);  $m/z$  (ES) 2040.23  $([MNa]^{+}, C_{112}H_{160}NaO_{32}$  requires 2040.08).

4.3.4. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50,51, 52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[8-methoxy-3n $^3_6$ -dioxaoctyloxy]calix[8]arene (3d). Isolated as an orange oil, from  $p$ -benzyloxycalix[8]arene 1d  $(0.50 \text{ g}, 0.29 \text{ mmol})$  and I–PEG<sub>3</sub>–OMe **2c** (0.81 g, 2.94 mmol). Yield:  $62\%$  (0.52 g).  $R_f$  0.49 (EtOAc/hexane, 4:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  278 and 269;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/ cm<sup>-1</sup> 1121 (CO);  $\delta$ <sub>H</sub>: 3.22 (24H, s, 8×OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.31-3.72 (96H, m,  $40 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 3.89–3.90 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2OCH_3$ ), 4.52 (16H, s,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$ ), 6.42–6.53 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ ), 7.00–7.31 (40H, m,  $8\times H_{ortho}$ , H<sub>meta</sub>,  $H_{\text{para}}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 30.3 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 58.4 (8×OCH<sub>3</sub>), 69.9–71.1  $(40\times CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.3 (8\times CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.3 (8\times CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 115.3$  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 127.9–128.8 (40× $C_{ortho}$ ,  $C_{meta}$ ,  $C_{para}$ ), 132.5  $(16 \times C_{ortho}), 137.7 (8 \times C_{ipso}), 149.2 (8 \times C_{para}), 154.9$  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z (FAB) 2887.1 ([MNa]<sup>+</sup>),  $C_{168}H_{208}NaO_{40}$ requires 2888.4.

4.3.5. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50,51,52, 53,54,55,56-octakis-[8-(2H-tetrahydropyran-2-yloxy)-  $3n_6^3$ -dioxaoctyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3e). Isolated as an orange oil, from tert-butylcalix[8]arene 1a (0.50 g, 0.39 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>3</sub>–OTHP 2f  $(1.32 \text{ g}, 3.85 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield: 55% (0.64 g).  $R_f$  0.43 (EtOAc/hexane, 6:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 276 and 268;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1098 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 0.86-1.01  $(72H, m, 24 \times CH_3), 1.43-1.75$  (48H, m, 8×H3, H4, H5), 3.52–3.82 (128H, m,  $48 \times CH_2O$ ,  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$  and  $8 \times H6$ ), 4.54–4.58 (8H, m,  $8 \times$ H2), 6.80–6.89 (16H, m,  $16 \times$ H<sub>meta</sub>);  $m/z$  (ES-TOF) 2963.7 ([MNa–C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), C<sub>172</sub>H<sub>266</sub>NaO<sub>38</sub> requires 2962.8.

4.3.6. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-octyl-49,50,51,52, 53,54,55,56-octakis-[8-(2H-tetrahydropyran-2-yloxy)-  $3n_6^3$ -dioxaoctyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3f). Isolated as an orange oil, from tert-octylcalix[8]arene 1b (0.50 g, 0.29 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>3</sub>–OTHP 2f  $(0.98 \text{ g}, 2.86 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield: 58% (0.58 g).  $R_f$  0.48 (EtOAc/hexane, 5:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 282 and 271;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1118 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 0.61-0.83 (72H, m,  $8 \times (CH_3)_3$ ), 1.00–1.24 (48H, m,  $8 \times (CH_3)_2$ ), 1.31–1.85 (64H, m,  $8 \times H3$ , H4, H5 and  $8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)_3$ ), 3.28 (24H, s,  $8 \times OCH_3$ ), 3.31–3.87 (128H, m,  $48 \times CH_2O$ ,  $8\times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar and  $8\times$ H6), 4.39–4.44 (8H, m,  $8\times$ H2), 6.58– 6.82 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ ); m/z (ES) 1675.1561 ([MNa<sub>2</sub>- $C_5H_9O - C_5H_9O_2$ <sup>2+</sup>).  $C_{199}H_{321}Na_2O_{37}$  requires 3349.3032.

4.3.7. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octakis-[8-(2H-tetrahydropyran-2-yloxy)-49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56-dioxaoctyloxy] calix[8]arene (3g). Isolated as an orange oil, from calix[8] arene 1c (0.50 g, 0.59 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>3</sub>–OTHP 2f (2.03 g, 5.89 mmol). Yield:  $63\%$  (0.96 g).  $R_f$  0.34 (EtOAc/hexane, 8:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  275 and 266;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1111 (CO) and 773 (CH);  $\delta_{H}$ : 1.29-1.63 (48H, m, 8×H3, H4, H5), 3.31–3.79 (128H, m,  $48 \times CH_2O$ ,  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$  and  $8 \times H6$ ), 4.43–4.48 (8H, m,  $8\times$ H2), 6.71–7.01 (24H, m,  $16\times$ H<sub>meta</sub> and  $8 \times H_{para}$ ); m/z (FAB) 2578.6 ([MH]<sup>+</sup>), C<sub>144</sub>H<sub>208</sub>O<sub>40</sub> requires 2578.4.

4.3.8. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[8-(2H-tetrahydropyran-2 yloxy)-3n<sup>3</sup>-dioxaoctyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3h). Isolated as an orange oil, from p-benzyloxycalix[8]arene 1d (0.50 g, 0.29 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>3</sub>–OTHP 2f  $(1.01 \text{ g}, 2.94 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield: 49% (0.49 g).  $R_f$  0.65 (EtOAc/hexane, 12:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 279 and 268;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1106 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 1.37-1.69 (48H, m, 8×H3, H4, H5), 3.34–3.93 (128H, m,  $48 \times CH_2O$ ,  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$  and  $8 \times H6$ ), 4.51–4.60 (24H, s,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$  and  $8 \times H2$ ), 6.71–6.93 (16H, m, 16 $\times H_{meta}$ ), 7.04–7.33 (40H, m,  $8 \times H_{ortho}$ , H<sub>meta</sub>, H<sub>para</sub>); m/z (FAB) 3363.4 ([MNa-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), C<sub>195</sub>H<sub>247</sub>NaO<sub>47</sub> requires 3363.7.

4.3.9. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[17-(2H-tetrahydropyran-2 yloxy)-3 $n_{15}^3$ -pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3i). $^{11}$ Isolated as an orange oil, from tert-butylcalix[8]arene 1a  $(0.50 \text{ g}, \, 0.39 \text{ mmol})$  and I–PEG<sub>6</sub>–OTHP 2g  $(1.83 \text{ g},$ 3.85 mmol). Yield:  $43\%$  (0.68 g).  $R_f$  0.76 (EtOAc/acetone, 3:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  276 and 268;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1100 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 0.93–1.07 (72H, m, 24×CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.40–1.84 (48H, m,  $8\times$ H3, H4, H5), 3.41–3.79 (224H, m,  $96\times$ CH<sub>2</sub>O,  $8 \times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar and  $8 \times$ H<sub>6</sub>), 4.50–4.57 (8H, m,  $8 \times$ H<sub>2</sub>), 6.76–6.91 (16H, m,  $16\times$ H<sub>meta</sub>); m/z (ES) 1979.0356 ([MNa<sub>2</sub>–  $C_5H_8O - C_5H_9O_2$ <sup>2+</sup>),  $C_{215}H_{353}Na_2O_{61}$  requires 3957.4316.

4.3.10. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-octyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[17-(2H-tetrahydropyran-2 yloxy)-3 $n_{15}^3$ -pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]-calix[8]arene  $(3j).<sup>11</sup>$  Isolated as an orange oil, from *tert*-octylcalix[8] arene **1b** (0.50 g, 0.29 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>6</sub>–OTHP 2g (1.36 g, 2.86 mmol). Yield: 50% (0.65 g).  $R_f$  0.80 (EtOAc/acetone, 3:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  275 and 267;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1109 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 0.64–0.86 (72H, m, 8×(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.97–1.21 (48H, m,  $8\times$ (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.30–1.97 (64H, m,  $8\times$ H3, H4, H5 and  $8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)$ <sub>3</sub>), 3.38–3.91 (224H, m, 96 $\times CH_2O$ ,  $8\times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar and  $8\times$ H6), 4.40–4.47 (8H, m,  $8\times$ H2), 6.47– 6.76 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ ); m/z (ES) 2203.4539 ([MNa<sub>2</sub>- $C_5H_8O - C_5H_8O_2$ <sup>2+</sup>),  $C_{247}H_{418}Na_2O_{61}$  requires 4406.9402.

4.3.11. 49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56-Octakis-[17-(2H-tetrahydropyran-2-yloxy)-3 $n_{15}^3$ -pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]calix[8]arene (3k). Isolated as an orange oil, from calix[8]arene 1c  $(0.50 \text{ g}, 0.59 \text{ mmol})$  and I-PEG<sub>6</sub>-OTHP **2g** (2.80 g, 5.89 mmol). Yield: 48% (1.03 g).  $R_f$  0.51 (EtOAc/acetone, 5:2).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  279 and 268;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/ cm<sup>-1</sup> 1109 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 1.15-1.57 (48H, m, 8×H3, H4, H5), 3.24–3.85 (224H, m,  $96 \times CH_2O$ ,  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$  and  $8 \times H6$ ), 4.42-4.47 (8H, m,  $8\times$ H2), 6.61-6.98 (24H, m,  $16\times$ H<sub>meta</sub> and  $8 \times H_{\text{para}}$ );  $m/z$  (ES) 1825.4683 ([M+H<sub>2</sub>O-H]<sup>2+</sup>),  $C_{192}H_{305}O_{65}$  requires 3651.0561.

4.3.12. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[17-(2H-tetrahydropyran-2 yloxy)-3n<sup>3</sup><sub>15</sub>-pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3l). Isolated as an orange oil, from p-benzyloxycalix[8]arene 1d (0.50 g, 0.29 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>6</sub>–OTHP 2g (1.40 g, 2.94 mmol). Yield:  $51\%$  (0.67 g).  $R_f$  0.67 (EtOAc/acetone, 3:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  279 and 269;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1109 (CO);  $\delta_H$ : 1.23–1.75 (48H, m, 8×H3, H4, H5), 3.23–3.91 (224H, m,  $96 \times CH_2O$ ,  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$  and  $8 \times H6$ ), 4.45–4.67 (24H, m,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$  and  $8 \times H2$ ), 6.71–6.93 (16H, m,  $16 \times H_{metal}$ ), 7.04–7.33 (40H, m,  $8 \times H_{ortho}$ ,  $H_{meta}$ ,  $H_{para}$ );  $m/z$  (ES) 2179.0839 ([MNa<sub>2</sub>-2C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O]<sup>2+</sup>), C<sub>238</sub>H<sub>334</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>70</sub> requires 4358.2371.

4.3.13. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[17-(p-methoxybenzyloxy)-  $3n_{15}^3$ -pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3m). Isolated as an orange oil, from p-benzyloxycalix[8]arene 1d  $(0.50 \text{ g}, 0.29 \text{ mmol})$  and I–PEG<sub>6</sub>–OPMB 2m  $(1.51 \text{ g},$ 2.94 mmol). Yield: 52% (0.73 g).  $R_f$  0.67 (EtOAc/acetone, 4:3).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  278 and 270;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1115 (CO);  $\delta_{H}$ : 3.17–3.71 (208H, m, 96×CH<sub>2</sub>O and 8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.95 (24H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.48–4.57 (32H, m,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$  and  $8 \times CH_2$ ), 6.51–6.91 (32H, m,  $16 \times H_{meta}$  and  $16 \times H_{meta}$ ), 7.03–7.32 (56H, m,  $8 \times H_{ortho}$ ,  $H_{meta}$ ,  $H_{para}$  and  $16 \times H_{ortho}$ );  $\delta_{\rm C}$ : 30.1 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 55.4 (8×OCH<sub>3</sub>), 69.6–71.0  $(88 \times CH_2O), 72.9 (8 \times CH_2O), 73.3 (8 \times CH_2Ph), 73.4$  $(8 \times CH_2)$ , 114.3  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 116.1  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 127.9– 128.9 (40 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>, C<sub>meta</sub>, C<sub>para</sub>), 129.6 (16 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>), 130.6  $(8{\times}C_{ipso})$ , 135.9  $(16{\times}C_{ortho})$ , 138.0  $(8{\times}C_{ipso})$ , 149.2  $(8{\times}C_{para}), \quad 155.1 \quad (8{\times}C_{inso}), \quad 159.8 \quad (8{\times}C_{para}); \quad m/z$  $(MALDI-TOF)$  4799.75  $([M+COH]^+)$ ,  $C_{273}H_{353}O_{73}$  requires 4799.39.

4.3.14. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[26-(p-methoxybenzyloxy)-  $3n_{24}^{3}$ -octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3n). Isolated as an orange oil, from tert-butylcalix[8]arene 1a (0.40 g, 0.31 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>9</sub>–OPMB  $2n$  (1.98 g, 3.08 mmol). Yield: 38% (0.75 g).  $R_f$  0.43 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:2).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 277 and 270;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1097 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 0.91-1.20 (72H, m,  $24 \times CH_3$ ), 3.23–3.78 (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$ and  $8 \times ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar$ , 3.87 (24H, s,  $8 \times OCH<sub>3</sub>$ ), 4.51 (16H, s, 8×CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.48–6.83 (32H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$  and  $16\times H_{meta}$ ), 7.08–7.25 (16H, m,  $16 \times H_{ortho}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 29.9 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.4 (24×CH<sub>3</sub>), 34.0 (8×C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 55.8 (8×OCH<sub>3</sub>), 69.9– 72.0 (136×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.4 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.3 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>), 114.6  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 125.6  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 129.7  $(16 \times C_{ortho})$ , 130.9  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ , 132.8  $(16 \times C_{ortho})$ , 145.0  $(8 \times C_{para})$ , 153.6  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ , 159.9  $(8 \times C_{para})$ ; m/z  $(ES)^2$  2736.5727  $((MNa<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>)<sup>2+</sup>), C<sub>296</sub>H<sub>464</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>88</sub> requires 2736.5814.$ 

4.3.15. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-octyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[26-(p-methoxybenzyloxy)-  $3n_{24}^{3}$ -octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3o). Isolated as an orange oil, from tert-octylcalix[8]arene 1b (0.30 g, 0.17 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>9</sub>–OPMB  $2n$  (1.11 g, 1.72 mmol). Yield: 42% (0.42 g).  $R_f$  0.46 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:2).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  278 and 271;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1110 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 0.60–0.84  $(72H, m, 8\times (CH_3)_3), 0.92-1.15$  (48H, m,  $8\times (CH_3)_2), 1.41-$ 1.63 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)$ ), 3.27–3.84 (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 3.95 (24H, s,  $8 \times OCH_3$ ), 4.46 (16H, s,  $8 \times CH_2$ ), 6.49–6.87 (32H, m,  $16 \times H_{meta}$  and  $16\times H_{meta}$ , 7.17–7.30 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{ortho}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 29.8  $(8\times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.5–32.3 (40×CH<sub>3</sub> and  $8\times$ C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 37.9  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_2)$ , 55.6  $(8 \times OCH_3)$ , 57.3  $(8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)_3)$ , 69.9–71.9 (136×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.7 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.4 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>), 114.4 (16 $\times$ C<sub>meta</sub>), 125.6 (16 $\times$ C<sub>meta</sub>), 129.7 (16 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>), 130.8  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ , 132.8  $(16 \times C_{ortho})$ , 145.0  $(8 \times C_{para})$ , 153.6  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ , 160.0  $(8 \times C_{para})$ ; m/z (ES) 2958.7341  $(NNa+4H)^{2+}$ , C<sub>329</sub>H<sub>535</sub>NaO<sub>88</sub> requires 5917.7286.

4.3.16. 49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56-Octakis-[26-(p-methoxybenzyloxy)-3n34-octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3p). Isolated as an orange oil, from calix[8]arene 1c  $(0.30 \text{ g})$ , 0.35 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>9</sub>–OPMB  $2n$  (2.28 g, 3.53 mmol). Yield:  $46\%$  (0.81 g).  $R_f$  0.39 (EtOAc/acetone, 2:3).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  275 and 268;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1107 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 3.27–3.80 (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 3.65 (24H, s,  $8 \times OCH_3$ ), 4.47 (16H, s,  $8 \times CH_2$ ), 6.51– 6.78 (40H, m,  $16 \times H_{meta}$ ,  $8 \times H_{para}$  and  $16 \times H_{meta}$ ), 7.02–7.21 (16H, m,  $16 \times H_{ortho}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 30.1 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 55.7 (8×OCH<sub>3</sub>), 69.9–71.8 (136×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.7  $(136 \times CH_2O),$  72.7<br>4.6  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 124.0  $(8 \times CH_2O), 73.4 (8 \times CH_2), 114.6 (16 \times C_{meta}),$  $(8{\times}C_{para}), 129.1 (16{\times}C_{meta}), 129.8 (16{\times}C_{ortho}), 131.0$  $(8\times \tilde{C}_{ipso})$ , 134.0  $(16\times \tilde{C}_{ortho})$ , 154.8  $(8\times \tilde{C}_{ipso})$ , 159.8  $(8\times C<sub>para</sub>)$ ; m/z (ES) 2489.4638 ([MH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>),  $C<sub>264</sub>H<sub>401</sub>O<sub>88</sub>$ requires 4980.6981.

4.3.17. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[26-(p-methoxybenzyloxy)-  $3n_{24}^3$ -octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3q). Isolated as an orange oil, from *p*-benzyloxycalix[8]arene **1d**  $(0.40 \text{ g})$ , 0.24 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>9</sub>–OPMB  $2n$  (1.52 g, 2.36 mmol). Yield: 37% (0.51 g).  $R_f$  0.51 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:2).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 279 and 269;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1110 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 3.12– 3.68 (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 3.87 (24H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.44–4.53 (32H, m,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$  and  $8 \times CH_2$ ), 6.62–6.99 (32H, m,  $16 \times H_{meta}$  and  $16 \times H_{meta}$ ), 7.07–7.31 (56H, m,  $8 \times H_{ortho}$ ,  $H_{meta}$ ,  $H_{para}$  and  $16 \times H_{ortho}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 30.0  $(8 \times ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar)$ , 55.7  $(8 \times OCH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 69.9–71.5  $(136 \times CH<sub>2</sub>O)$ , 73.1 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.3 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.5 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>), 114.4  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 116.0  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 128.0–129.0  $(40 \times C_{ortho})$  $C_{meta}$ ,  $C_{para}$ ), 129.7 (16× $C_{ortho}$ ), 130.8 (8× $C_{ipso}$ ), 136.0  $(16 \times C_{ortho}), \quad 138.1 \quad (8 \times C_{ipso}), \quad 149.2 \quad (8 \times C_{para}), \quad 155.1$  $(8\times C_{ipso})$ , 159.7  $(8\times C_{para})$ ; m/z (MALDI-TOF) 5916.81  $([M + C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), C<sub>327</sub>H<sub>453</sub>O<sub>96</sub> requires 5916.05.$ 

4.3.18. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[35-(p-methoxybenzyloxy)-  $3n_{33}^3$ -undecaoxapentatriacontyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3r). Isolated as an orange oil, from tert-butylcalix[8]arene 1a (0.30 g, 0.23 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>12</sub>–OPMB 2o (1.79 g, 2.31 mmol). Yield: 36% (0.54 g).  $R_f$  0.56 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:5).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 276 and 267;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1102 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 0.82–1.17 (72H, m, 24×CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.17–3.82 (400H, m,  $192 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 3.78 (24H, s,  $8 \times OCH_3$ ), 4.46–4.51 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2Ar$ ), 6.50–6.84 (32H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$  and  $16\times H_{meta}$ , 7.03–7.31 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{ortho}$ );<br>  $\delta_C$ : 29.8 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.5 (24×CH<sub>3</sub>), 33.9  $\delta_C$ : 29.8 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.5 (24×CH<sub>3</sub>),  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_3)$ , 55.7  $(8 \times OCH_3)$ , 69.8–71.9  $(184 \times CH_2O)$ , 72.7 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.2 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 114.7 (16×C<sub>meta</sub>), 125.6 (16 $\times$ C<sub>meta</sub>), 129.9 (16 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>), 131.0 (8 $\times$ C<sub>ipso</sub>), 132.8 (16 $\times C_{ortho}$ ), 145.0 (8 $\times C_{para}$ ), 153.7 (8 $\times C_{ipso}$ ), 159.9 (8×C<sub>para</sub>); m/z (ES) 3265.0435 ([MNa<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2</sup>  $^{2+}$ ),  $C_{344}H_{560}Na_2O_{112}$  requires 6529.7920.

4.3.19. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[35-(p-methoxybenzyloxy)-  $3n_{33}^3$ -undecaoxapentatriacontyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3s). Isolated as an orange oil, from p-benzyloxycalix[8]arene 1d (0.40 g, 0.24 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>12</sub>–OPMB 2o (1.83 g, 2.36 mmol). Yield: 34% (0.55 g).  $R_f$  0.81 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:6).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ /nm 280 and 268;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1108 (CO);  $\delta_{H}$ : 3.17–3.74 (400H, m, 192×CH<sub>2</sub>O and 8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 3.91 (24H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.46–4.67 (32H, m,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$  and 8×CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.59–6.97 (32H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$  and  $16\times H_{meta}$ ), 7.03–7.35 (56H, m,  $8 \times H_{ortho}$ ,  $H_{meta}$ ,  $H_{para}$  and  $16 \times H_{ortho}$ );  $\delta_{\rm C}$ : 30.0 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 55.6 (8×OCH<sub>3</sub>), 69.5–71.7  $(184 \times CH_2O), 73.0 (8 \times CH_2O), 73.4 (8 \times CH_2Ph), 73.7$  $(8 \times CH_2Ar)$ , 114.6 (16 $\times C_{meta}$ ), 116.1 (16 $\times C_{meta}$ ), 127.9– 129.0 (40 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>, C<sub>meta</sub>, C<sub>para</sub>), 129.8 (16 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>), 130.8  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ , 136.0  $(16 \times C_{ortho})$ , 138.1  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ , 149.3  $(8{\times}C_{para}), 155.1 (8{\times}C_{ipso}), 159.8 (8{\times}C_{para}); m/z (ES)$ 3483.0287 ( $[(MNa_2+K-2H)^{2+}$ ),  $C_{368}H_{542}KNa_2O_{120}$  requires 6966.5742.

4.3.20. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[17-benzyloxy-3 $n_{15}^3$ -pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3t). Isolated as an orange oil, from p-benzyloxycalix $[8]$ arene 1d  $(0.50 \text{ g}, 0.29 \text{ mmol})$ and I–PEG<sub>6</sub>–OBn 2h (1.42 g, 2.94 mmol). Yield:  $45\%$ (0.60 g).  $R_f$  0.67 (EtOAc/acetone, 4:3).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 280 and 272;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1109 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 3.29-3.73 (208H, m,  $96 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ , 4.36–4.61 (32H, m,  $16\times$ CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.66–6.79 (16H, m,  $16\times$ H<sub>meta</sub>), 7.00–7.32 (80H, m,  $16\times$ H<sub>ortho</sub>, H<sub>meta</sub>, H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta$ <sub>C</sub>: 30.0 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 69.4–71.2 (88×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.9 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.4 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.7  $(8 \times CH_2Ph)$ , 115.9  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 127.9–129.0  $(80 \times C_{ortho}, C_{meta}, C_{para}), 135.7 (16 \times C_{ortho}), 137.9-138.1$  $(16 \times C_{ipso})$ , 149.1 ( $8 \times C_{para}$ ), 155.1 ( $8 \times C_{ipso}$ ); m/z (ES)<br>2288.1698 ([MNa<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>), C<sub>264</sub>H<sub>336</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>64</sub> requires 2288.1698  $C_{264}H_{336}Na_2O_{64}$ 4576.2833.

4.3.21. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50,51, 52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[26-benzyloxy-3 $n_{24}^3$ -octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3u). Isolated as an orange oil, from p-benzyloxycalix[8]arene 1d (0.30 g, 0.18 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>9</sub>–OBn 2i (1.09 g, 1.77 mmol). Yield:  $43\%$  (0.43 g).  $R_f$  0.42 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:2).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  281 and 273;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  $(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$  1117 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 3.30–3.78 (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ , 4.33–4.59 (32H, m,  $16\times\text{CH}_2\text{Ph}$ , 6.57–6.83 (16H, m,  $16\times\text{H}_{meta}$ ), 6.97–7.37 (80H, m,  $16\times$ H<sub>ortho</sub>, H<sub>meta</sub>, H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta$ <sub>C</sub>: 30.1 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 69.6–71.4 (136×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.0 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.3 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.6  $(8 \times CH_2Ph)$ , 116.1  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 127.9–129.1  $(80 \times C_{ortho}, C_{meta}, C_{para}), 135.8 (16 \times C_{ortho}), 137.9-138.1$  $(16 \times C_{ipso})$ , 149.1  $(8 \times C_{para})$ , 155.0  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z  $(MALDI-TOF)$  5587.73  $(MH<sup>+</sup>)$ ,  $C_{312}H_{433}O_{88}$  requires 5587.94).

4.3.22. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[35-benzyloxy-3n<sup>3</sup>3-undecaoxapentatriacontyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3v). Isolated as an

orange oil, from tert-butylcalix[8]arene 1a (0.40 g, 0.31 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>12</sub>–OBn 2j  $(2.30 \text{ g}, 3.08 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield: 38% (0.73 g).  $R_f$  0.44 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:5).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 276 and 268;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1105 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 0.83-1.22 (72H, m,  $24 \times CH_3$ ), 3.203–3.81 (400H, m,  $192 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ , 4.44–4.49 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$ , 6.52–6.77 (16H, m,  $16 \times H_{meta}$ ), 6.98–7.26 (40H, m,  $8 \times H_{ortho}$ , H<sub>meta</sub>, H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta_C$ : 28.7 ( $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 30.3  $(24 \times CH_3)$ , 33.0  $(8 \times C(CH_3)$ <sub>3</sub>), 68.7–69.9  $(184\times CH_2O), 72.7 (8\times CH_2O), 73.7 (8\times CH_2Ph), 124.8$  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 127.8–129.0  $(40 \times C_{ortho}, C_{meta}, C_{para})$ , 132.1  $(16 \times C_{ortho}),$  138.3  $(8 \times C_{ipso}),$  144.7  $(8 \times C_{para}),$  152.1<br> $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z (ES) 3142.8937 ([MNa+4H]<sup>2+</sup>),  $($ [MNa+4H]<sup>2+</sup>),  $C_{337}H_{551}NaO_{104}$  requires 6285.7725.

4.3.23. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-octyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[35-benzyloxy-3n<sup>3</sup>3-undecaoxapentatriacontyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3w). Isolated as an orange oil, from tert-octylcalix[8]arene 1b (0.40 g, 0.23 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>12</sub>–OBn 2j  $(1.71 \text{ g}, 2.29 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield: 33% (0.51 g).  $R_f$  0.64 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:5).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 277 and 270;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1109 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 0.57– 0.88 (72H, m,  $8 \times (CH_3)_3$ ), 0.97–1.23 (48H, m,  $8 \times (CH_3)_2$ ), 1.37–1.62 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)$ ), 3.27–3.97 (400H, m,  $192 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ , 4.40–4.51 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$ , 6.49–6.87 (16H, m,  $16 \times H_{metal}$ ), 6.97–7.37 (40H, m,  $8 \times H_{ortho}$ , H<sub>meta</sub>, H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta_C$ : 29.9 ( $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 31.3–32.4 (40×CH<sub>3</sub> and 8×C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 38.0 (8×C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 57.5  $(8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)_3)$ , 69.7–72.0  $(184 \times CH_2O)$ , 72.7  $(8 \times CH_2O), 73.6 (8 \times CH_2Ph), 125.6 (16 \times C_{meta}), 127.9-$ 129.0 (40 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>, C<sub>meta</sub>, C<sub>para</sub>), 132.8 (16 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>), 138.7  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ , 145.0  $(8 \times C_{para})$ , 153.6  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z (ES) 3369.2367 ([MNa<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>), C<sub>368</sub>H<sub>608</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>104</sub> requires 6738.2083.

4.3.24. 49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56-Octakis-[35-benzyloxy- $3n_{33}^3$ -undecaoxapentatriacontyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3x). Isolated as an orange oil, from calix $[8]$ arene 1c  $(0.30 g,$ 0.35 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>12</sub>–OBn 2j (2.64 g, 3.53 mmol). Yield: 31% (0.63 g).  $R_f$  0.78 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:5).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 278 and 267;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1121 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 3.27-3.80 (400H, m,  $192 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 4.47-4.56 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$ ), 6.51–6.78 (24H, m,  $16 \times H_{meta}$  and  $8\times H_{para}$ ), 7.01–7.28 (40H, m,  $8\times H_{ortho}$ ,  $H_{meta}$ ,  $H_{para}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 30.2  $(8 \times ArCH_2Ar)$ , 69.8–71.8  $(184 \times CH_2O)$ , 72.6  $(8 \times CH_2O),$  73.4  $(8 \times CH_2),$  124.0  $(8 \times C_{para}),$  129.2  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 127.9–129.2 (40 $\times C_{ortho}$ ,  $C_{meta}$ ,  $C_{para}$ ), 134.0  $(16 \times C_{ortho}), 138.0 (8 \times C_{ipso}), 154.8 (8 \times C_{ipso}); m/z$  (ES) 2920.6518  $(NNa_2)^{2+}$ ,  $C_{304}H_{480}Na_2O_{104}$  requires 5841.2067.

4.3.25. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50,51, 52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[35-benzyloxy-3 $n_{33}^3$ -undecaoxapentatriacontyloxy]-calix[8]arene (3y). Isolated as an orange oil, from benzyloxycalix[8]arene 1d (0.40 g, 0.24 mmol) and I–PEG<sub>12</sub>–OBn 2j  $(1.76 \text{ g}, 2.36 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield: 29% (0.45 g).  $R_f$  0.71 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:7).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 280 and 273;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 1115 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 3.53– 4.03 (400H, m,  $192 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 4.51-4.69 (32H, m,  $16 \times CH_2Ph$ ), 6.47–6.67 (16H, m,  $16 \times H_{metal}$ ), 7.03–7.44 (80H, m,  $16 \times H_{ortho}$ , H<sub>meta</sub>, H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta_C$ : 30.0  $(8 \times ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar)$ , 69.8–70.8 (184 $\times CH<sub>2</sub>O$ ), 72.8 (8 $\times CH<sub>2</sub>O$ ), 73.4 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 73.6 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 115.8 (16×C<sub>meta</sub>),

127.9–129.2 (80 $\times C_{ortho}$ , C<sub>meta</sub>, C<sub>para</sub>), 135.8 (16 $\times C_{ortho}$ ), 137.9 (8×C<sub>ipso</sub>), 138.5 (8×C<sub>ipso</sub>), 149.1 (8×C<sub>para</sub>), 155.1  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ;  $m/z$  (ES) 3341.4598 ([(MHNa]<sup>2+</sup>),  $C_{361}H_{533}NaO_{112}$  requires 6683.5909.

## 4.4. Removal of protecting groups

4.4.1. Removal of THP ethers. The THP-protected cal $i$ x[8]arene was dissolved in a mixture of  $CH_3OH$  and  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (1:1). A few drops of concd HCl were added and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h.  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  was added to neutralise the solution and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. EtOAc was added to the residue and the resulting suspension was filtered to remove the inorganic salt. Finally, the solvent was removed and the product was subjected to column chromatography.

4.4.2. Removal of benzyl ethers. Pearlman's catalyst (20%  $Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>, 0.27 g)$  was added to benzyl-protected calix[8]arene (1.90 mmol) in a mixture of ethanol and cyclohexa-1,4 diene (25 mL, 3:2 v/v). After refluxing for 18 h, the cooled reaction mixture was filtered through a small pad of Celite, which was washed with EtOAc (100 mL). The combined filtrate was concentrated and the remaining oil was purified by column chromatography.

4.4.3. Removal of PMB ethers. The PMB-protected calix- [8]arene was dissolved in a mixture of  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN/CH<sub>3</sub>OH$ (9:1, v/v) and cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C. Cerium ammonium nitrate (3 equiv) was added slowly portionwise over 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature, where it was stirred for further 2.5 h. The mixture was diluted with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  and filtered through a short pad of Celite. The filtrate was evaporated, before purification by column chromatography.

4.4.3.1. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[8-hydroxy-3n<sup>3</sup>-dioxaoctyloxy]calix[8]arene (4a). Isolated as an orange oil, from 3e (0.51 g, 0.17 mmol). Yield:  $82\%$  (0.33 g).  $R_f$  0.47 (EtOAc).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  276 and 267;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3432 (OH) and 1105 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 0.91-1.09 (72H, m, 24×CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.79 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.52–3.82 (112H, m,  $48\times$ CH<sub>2</sub>O and  $8\times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 6.62–6.76 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{metal}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 29.9 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.2  $(24 \times CH_3)$ , 33.9  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_3)$ , 61.8  $(8 \times CH_2OH)$ , 70.0–71.6  $(32\times CH_2O), 72.8 (8\times CH_2O), 125.3 (16\times C_{meta}), 133.8$  $(16 \times C_{ortho}), 145.9 (8 \times C_{para}), 155.0 (8 \times C_{ipso}); m/z (FAB)$ 2376.8 ( $[MK-CH_2OH]^+$ ),  $C_{136}H_{208}KO_{31}$  requires 2377.4.

4.4.3.2. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-octyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[8-hydroxy-3n<sup>3</sup>-dioxaoctyloxy]calix[8]arene (4b). Isolated as an orange oil from  $3f(0.43 g, ...)$ 0.12 mmol). Yield: 87% (0.30 g).  $R_f$  0.56 (EtOAc).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/$ nm 275 and 264;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3456 (OH) and 1106 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 0.64–0.87 (72H, m, 8×(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.97–1.19 (48H, m,  $8\times$ (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.41–1.63 (16H, m,  $8\times$ CH<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.89 (8H, s,  $8 \times OH$ ,  $3.31-3.87$  (112H, m,  $48 \times CH_2O$  and  $8\times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 6.53–6.79 (16H, m, 16 $\times$ H<sub>meta</sub>);  $\delta$ <sub>C</sub>: 30.0  $(8 \times ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar)$ , 31.9–32.6 (40×CH<sub>3</sub> and  $8 \times C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ ), 38.1  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_2)$ , 57.6  $(8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)_3)$ , 62.0  $(8 \times CH_2OH)$ , 70.0–71.3 (32×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.8 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 125.8 (16×C<sub>meta</sub>), 132.6 (16 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>), 144.9 (8 $\times$ C<sub>para</sub>), 153.5 (8 $\times$ C<sub>ipso</sub>); m/z

(ES) 1422.0047 ([MNa+4H]<sup>2+</sup>), C<sub>169</sub>H<sub>278</sub>NaO<sub>32</sub> requires 2844.0102.

4.4.3.3. 49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56-Octa-[8-hydroxy-3 $n_6^3$ dioxaoctyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4c). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3g (0.78 g, 0.30 mmol). Yield: 80% (0.46 g).  $R_f$ 0.63 (EtOAc/hexane, 4:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/nm$  275 and 263;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3389 (OH) and 1120 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 2.61 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.45–3.73 (112H, m,  $48\times$ CH<sub>2</sub>O and  $8\times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 6.62–6.83 (24H, m,  $16 \times H_{meta}$  and  $8 \times H_{para}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 29.8  $(8 \times ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar)$ , 62.3  $(8 \times CH<sub>2</sub>OH)$ , 70.2–71.4  $(40 \times CH<sub>2</sub>O)$ , 72.8 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 123.9 (8×C<sub>para</sub>), 128.9 (16×C<sub>meta</sub>), 134.0  $(16 \times C_{ortho}), 154.6 (8 \times C_{ipso}); m/z$  (FAB) 1927.6 ([MNa]<sup>+</sup>),  $C_{104}H_{144}NaO_{32}$  requires 1927.9.

4.4.3.4. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[8-hydroxy-3n<sup>3</sup>-dioxaoctyloxy]calix[8]arene (4d). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3h (0.38 g, 0.11 mmol). Yield: 84% (0.26 g).  $R_f$  0.54 (EtOAc).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$ 281 and 271;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3412 (OH) and 1118 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 2.67 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.26–3.69 (112H, m, 48×CH<sub>2</sub>O and  $8 \times ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar$ , 4.58 (16H, s,  $8 \times CH<sub>2</sub>Ph$ ), 6.50–6.61 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{metal}$ ), 7.04–7.29 (40H, m,  $8\times H_{ortho}$ , H<sub>meta</sub>,  $H_{para}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 30.2 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 61.9 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 70.0– 71.4 (32×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.6 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.2 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 115.6 (16 $\times$ C<sub>meta</sub>), 128.0–128.9 (40 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>, C<sub>meta</sub>, C<sub>para</sub>), 135.7 (16 $\times C_{ortho}$ ), 137.8 (8 $\times C_{ipso}$ ), 149.1 (8 $\times C_{para}$ ), 155.0  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ;  $m/z$   $(FAB)$  2776.0  $([MNa]^+)$ ,  $C_{160}H_{192}NaO_{40}$  requires 2776.3.

4.4.3.5. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[17-hydroxy-3 $n_{15}^3$ -pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]-calix[8]arene  $(4e)$ .<sup>11</sup> Isolated as a brown oil, from 3i (0.51 g, 0.12 mmol). Yield: 86% (0.37 g).  $R_f$  0.41 (EtOAc/acetone, 3:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  280 and 270;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/ cm<sup>-1</sup> 3428 (OH) and 1110 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 0.93-1.12 (72H, m,  $24 \times CH_3$ ), 2.98 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.43–3.85 (208H, m, 96× CH<sub>2</sub>O and 8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 6.57–6.81 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{metal}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 29.8 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.3 (24×CH<sub>3</sub>), 34.0 (8×C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 61.9 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 70.1–71.8 (80×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.0 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 125.4 (16 $\times$ C<sub>meta</sub>), 133.8 (16 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>), 146.0 (8 $\times$ C<sub>para</sub>), 155.1  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z (ES) 3389.1941 ([MNa-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>),  $C_{183}H_{303}NaO_{54}$  requires 3389.0940.

4.4.3.6. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-octyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[17-hydroxy-3n<sub>15</sub>-pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]-calix[8]arene  $(4f).<sup>11</sup>$  Isolated as a brown oil, from 3j (0.47 g, 0.10 mmol). Yield: 86% (0.34 g).  $R_f$  0.37 (EtOAc/acetone, 3:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  276 and 268;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/ cm<sup>-1</sup> 3441 (OH) and 1112 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 0.58–0.83 (72H, m,  $8\times$ (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.95–1.12 (48H, m,  $8\times$ (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.38–1.59  $(16H, m, 8\times CH_2C(CH_3)_3), 3.02 (8H, s, 8\times OH), 3.31-3.87$ (208H, m,  $96 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 6.49–6.68 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 29.9 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.7–32.5 (40× CH<sub>3</sub> and  $8 \times C(CH_3)_{3}$ , 38.0  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_{2})$ , 57.4  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_{3}$  $CH_2C(CH_3)_3$ , 61.8 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 69.8–71.5 (80×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.6  $(8 \times CH_2O)$ , 125.6  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 132.5  $(16 \times C_{ortho})$ , 144.8  $(8 \times C_{para}),$  153.5  $(8 \times C_{ipso});$  m/z (ES) 1930.3768  $((MNa<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2+</sup>), C<sub>215</sub>H<sub>368</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>54</sub> requires 1930.2923.$ 

4.4.3.7. 49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56-Octakis-[17-hydroxy-3n<sup>3</sup><sub>15</sub>-pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4g). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3k (0.85 g, 0.23 mmol). Yield: 81% (0.56 g).  $R_f$  0.45 (EtOAc/acetone, 5:2).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  275 and 263;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3389 (OH) and 1120 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 2.93 (8H, s,  $8 \times OH$ ), 3.35–3.81 (208H, m,  $96 \times CH_2O$  and  $8\times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 6.57–6.91 (24H, m, 16×H<sub>meta</sub> and  $8\times$ H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta_C$ : 29.9 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 62.1 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 70.0–71.5  $(80 \times CH_2O), 73.0 (8 \times CH_2O), 124.0 (8 \times C_{para}), 129.0$  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 134.0  $(16 \times C_{ortho})$ , 154.7  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z (ES) 2940.6127 ([MNa-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O]<sup>+</sup>), C<sub>150</sub>H<sub>236</sub>NaO<sub>55</sub> requires 2940.5668.

4.4.3.8. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[17-hydroxy-3n<sub>15</sub>-pentaoxaheptadecyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4h). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3l (0.50 g, 0.11 mmol). Yield: 85% (0.36 g).  $R_f$  0.48 (EtOAc/acetone, 3:1).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  279 and 270;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/ cm<sup>-1</sup> 3409 (OH) and 1104 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 3.01 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.29–3.73 (208H, m,  $96 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 4.50– 4.61 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH_2Ph$ ), 6.57–6.68 (16H, m,  $16 \times H_{meta}$ ), 7.07–7.32 (40H, m,  $8 \times H_{ortho}$ , H<sub>meta</sub>, H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta_C$ : 30.2  $(8 \times ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar)$ , 62.0  $(8 \times CH<sub>2</sub>OH)$ , 69.8–71.3  $(80 \times CH<sub>2</sub>O)$ , 72.9 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.4 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 115.9 (16×C<sub>meta</sub>), 127.9–128.8 (40× $C_{ortho}$ ,  $C_{meta}$ ,  $C_{para}$ ), 135.7 (16× $C_{ortho}$ ), 137.9 (8×C<sub>ipso</sub>), 149.1 (8×C<sub>para</sub>), 155.1 (8×C<sub>ipso</sub>); m/z (ES) 1925.9253 ( $[MNa_2-H]^2$ <sup>+</sup>),  $[C_{208}H_{281}Na_2O_{64}]^2$ <sup>+</sup> is 3848.853.

4.4.3.9. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[26-hydroxy-3 $n_{24}^{3}$ -octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4i). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3n (0.53 g, 0.10 mmol). Yield:  $73\%$  (0.44 g).  $R_f$  0.73 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:3).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  277 and 266;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/ cm<sup>-1</sup> 3431 (OH) and 1106 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 0.87-1.10 (72H, m,  $24 \times CH_3$ ), 3.00 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.35-3.91 (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ , 6.62–6.94 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ ;  $\delta_C$ : 29.9 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.4 (24×CH<sub>3</sub>), 33.9  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_3)$ , 62.0  $(8 \times CH_2OH)$ , 69.9–71.9  $(128 \times CH_2O)$ , 73.1 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 125.5 (16×C<sub>meta</sub>), 133.8 (16×C<sub>ortho</sub>), 146.0  $(8{\times}C_{para}),$  155.0  $(8{\times}C_{ipso});$   $m/z$  (ES) 1554.1  $( [MCsNa<sub>2</sub>+2H]<sup>3+</sup>), C<sub>233</sub>H<sub>405</sub>CsNa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>80</sub> requires 4662.64.$ 

4.4.3.10. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-octyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[26-hydroxy-3 $n_{24}^{3}$ -octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4j). Isolated as a brown oil, from **3o** (0.31 g, 0.05 mmol). Yield: 78% (0.20 g).  $R_f$  0.81 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:3).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  277 and 269;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/ cm<sup>-1</sup> 3424 (OH) and 1107 (CO);  $\delta_{H}$ : 0.61-0.87 (72H, m,  $8\times$ (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.96–1.16 (48H, m,  $8\times$ (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.33–1.61  $(16H, m, 8\times CH_2C(CH_3)_3)$ , 2.87 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.23–3.79 (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 6.51–6.72 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 30.0 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.6–32.4  $(40 \times CH_3 \text{ and } 8 \times C(CH_3)_3)$ , 37.9  $(8 \times CH_3)_2$ , 57.6<br> $(8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)_3)$ , 62.1  $(8 \times CH_2OH)$ , 69.7–71.6  $(8 \times CH_2C(CH_3)_3)$ ,  $(128\times CH_2O), 72.9 (8\times CH_2O), 125.7 (16\times C_{meta}), 132.5$  $(16 \times C_{ortho}), 144.9 (8 \times C_{para}), 153.5 (8 \times C_{ipso}); m/z$  (ES) 2478.7321 ([MNa<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O-H]<sup>2+</sup>), C<sub>265</sub>H<sub>464</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>79</sub> requires 2478.6043.

4.4.3.11. 49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56-Octakis-[26-hydroxy- $3n_{24}^{3}$ -octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4k). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3p (0.58 g, 0.12 mmol). Yield: 81% (0.38 g).  $R_f$  0.66 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:4).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  277 and 265;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3394 (OH) and 1114 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 3.01 (8H, s,  $8 \times OH$ ), 3.31–3.78 (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$  and

<span id="page-11-0"></span> $8\times$ ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 6.61–6.97 (24H, m, 16×H<sub>meta</sub> and  $8\times$ H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta_C$ : 29.9 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 62.0 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 70.0–71.8  $(128\times CH_2O), 72.9 (8\times CH_2O), 123.9 (8\times C_{para}), 129.1$  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 133.9  $(16 \times C_{ortho})$ , 154.8  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z  $(MALDI-TOF)$  4069.98  $([MNa+2H+CN]^+), C_{201}H_{338}$  $NNaO<sub>80</sub>$  requires 4069.23.

4.4.3.12. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49, 50,51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[26-hydroxy-3n<sub>24</sub>-octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4l). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3q  $(0.35 \text{ g}, 0.06 \text{ mmol})$ . Yield: 75%  $(0.22 \text{ g})$ .  $R_f$ 0.69 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:3).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  277 and 268;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3454 (OH) and 1099 (CO);  $\delta_H$ : 3.04 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.31-3.78 (304H, m, 144×CH<sub>2</sub>O and 8×OH),  $3.31-3.78$  (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar$ , 4.53-4.62 (16H, m,  $8 \times CH<sub>2</sub>Ph$ ), 6.53-6.71 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ ), 7.05-7.29 (40H, m,  $8\times H_{ortho}$ ,  $H_{meta}$ , H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta_C$ : 30.3 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 62.2 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 70.0– 72.1 (128×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.1 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.5 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 116.0 (16 $\times$ C<sub>meta</sub>), 128.0–128.9 (40 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>, C<sub>meta</sub>, C<sub>para</sub>), 135.9 (16 $\times$ C<sub>ortho</sub>), 138.0 (8 $\times$ C<sub>ipso</sub>), 149.1 (8 $\times$ C<sub>para</sub>), 155.2  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z (MALDI-TOF) 4889.31 ([MNa]<sup>+</sup>),  $C_{256}H_{384}NaO_{88}$  requires 4889.54.

4.4.3.13. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-tert-butyl-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[35-hydroxy-3n<sub>33</sub>-undecaoxapentatriacontyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4m). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3r (0.38 g, 0.06 mmol). Yield: 68% (0.22 g).  $R_f$  0.39 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:6).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  275 and 266;  $v_{\text{max}}$  (thin film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3452 (OH) and 1121 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 0.83–1.07 (72H, m,  $24 \times CH_3$ ), 3.04 (8H, s,  $8 \times OH$ ), 3.36– 3.97 (400H, m,  $192 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ ), 6.57–6.90 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ );  $\delta_C$ : 29.7 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 31.6  $(24 \times CH_3)$ , 34.1  $(8 \times C(CH_3)_3)$ , 62.4  $(8 \times CH_2OH)$ , 69.5– 71.7 (176 $\times$ CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.0 (8 $\times$ CH<sub>2</sub>O), 125.6 (16 $\times$ C<sub>meta</sub>), 133.8 (16 $\times C_{ortho}$ ), 146.1 (8 $\times C_{para}$ ), 155.0 (8 $\times C_{ipso}$ ); m/z  $(MALDI-TOF)$  5614.18 ([MH+C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), C<sub>287</sub>H<sub>503</sub>O<sub>104</sub> requires 5614.40.

4.4.3.14. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-benzyloxy-49, 50,51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[35-hydroxy-3 $n_{33}^3$ -undecaoxapentatriacontyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4n). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3s (0.34 g, 0.05 mmol). Yield: 65% (0.19 g).  $R_f$  0.72 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:6).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ /nm 276 and 269;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  $(\text{film})/\text{cm}^{-1}$  3444 (OH) and 1103 (CO);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ : 3.08 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.30–3.82 (400H, m,  $192 \times CH_2O$  and 8× ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 4.55–4.63 (16H, m,  $8 \times$ CH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 6.49–6.77 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ ), 7.03–7.31 (40H, m,  $8\times H_{ortho}$ , H<sub>meta</sub>, H<sub>para</sub>);  $\delta_C$ : 30.1 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 62.0 (8×CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 70.0–72.7  $(176\times CH_2O), 73.0 (8\times CH_2O), 73.6 (8\times CH_2Ph), 116.1$  $(16 \times C_{meta})$ , 127.9–129.1 (40 $\times C_{ortho}$ ,  $C_{meta}$ ,  $C_{para}$ ), 135.9  $(16 \times C_{ortho}), \quad 138.0 \quad (8 \times C_{ipso}), \quad 149.0 \quad (8 \times C_{para}), \quad 155.0$  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ ; m/z (ES) 2940.8313 ([MNa<sub>2</sub>-2C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O]<sup>2+</sup>),  $C_{300}H_{472}Na_2O_{110}$  requires 2940.5568.

4.4.3.15. 5,11,17,23,29,35,41,47-Octa-hydroxy-49,50, 51,52,53,54,55,56-octakis-[26-hydroxy-3 $n_{24}^3$ -octaoxahexacosyloxy]-calix[8]arene (4o). Isolated as a brown oil, from 3u (0.35 g, 0.06 mmol). Yield:  $71\%$  (0.18 g).  $R_f$  0.63 (EtOAc/acetone, 1:3).  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3257 (OH) and 1103 (CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ : 2.75 (8H, s, 8×OH), 3.28–3.87 (304H, m,  $144 \times CH_2O$  and  $8 \times ArCH_2Ar$ , 6.50–6.91 (16H, m,  $16\times H_{meta}$ , 9.46 (8H, s, 8×OH);  $\delta_C$ : 30.0 (8×ArCH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 61.9  $(8 \times CH_2OH)$ , 69.9–71.8  $(128 \times CH_2O)$ , 73.1

 $(8 \times CH_2O), 116.9 (16 \times C_{metal}), 132.1 (16 \times C_{ortho}), 136.8$  $(8 \times C_{ipso})$ , 154.9  $(8 \times C_{para})$ ; m/z (ES) 2096.8627  $((MNa<sub>2</sub>+H)<sup>2+</sup>), C<sub>200</sub>H<sub>337</sub>Na<sub>2</sub>O<sub>88</sub> requires 4193.17.$ 

#### Acknowledgements

Studentship support from KCL is acknowledged. We are grateful to Johnson Matthey plc for a loan agreement, which provided Pd catalysts used in this project. We thank Mr. John Barton (Imperial College London) for help with the MS interpretations and the production of the simulated isotopic distribution pattern in [Figure 3.](#page-4-0)

#### Supplementary data

(1) Characterisation data for compounds 2f–p, 5a–f and 6a– d; (2) tables summarising the result of reaction optimisation, including the choice and stoichiometry of base and (3) selected  ${}^{1}$ H (compounds 3a and 3g) and  ${}^{13}C$  (compounds 3c, 3g and 3v) NMR spectra were provided. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.tet.2007.07.057.](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.tet.2007.07.057)

#### References and notes

- 1. (a) Tuberculosis, WHO Fact Sheet No. 104: revised March 2006; (b) Becker, K.; Hu, Y.; Biller-Andorno, N. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 2006, 296, 179–185.
- 2. (a) Anti-Tuberculosis Drug Resistance in the World: Third Global Report; WHO: Geneva, 2005. [http://www.who.int/tb/](http://www.who.int/tb/publications/who_htm_tb_2004_343/en/index.html) [publications/who\\_htm\\_tb\\_2004\\_343/en/index.html;](http://www.who.int/tb/publications/who_htm_tb_2004_343/en/index.html) (b) Cox, H.; Kebede, Y.; Allamuratova, S.; Ismailov, G.; Davletmuratova, Z.; Byrnes, G.; Stone, C.; Niemann, S.; Ruesch-Gerdes, S.; Blok, L.; Doshetov, D. PLoS Medicine 2006, 3, 1836–1843.
- 3. Onyebujoh, P.; Zumla, A.; Ribeiro, I.; Rustomjee, R.; Mwaba, P.; Gomes, M.; Grange, J. M. Bull. WHO 2005, 83, 857–865.
- 4. Cornforth, J. W.; Hart, P. D.; Nicholls, G. A.; Rees, R. J.; Stock, J. A. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1955, 10, 73–88.
- 5. An eloquent account was given by Gutsche, C. D. Calixarenes; The Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 1989; Chapter 1.
- 6. Colston, M. J.; Hailes, H. C.; Stavropoulos, E.; Herve, A. C.; Herve, G.; Goodworth, K. J.; Hill, A. M.; Jenner, P.; Hart, P. D.; Tascon, R. E. Infect. Immun. 2004, 6318–6323.
- 7. Cornforth, J. W.; Morgan, E. D.; Potts, K. T.; Rees, R. J. W. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 1659–1667.
- 8. Munch, J. H. Makromol. Chem. 1977, 178, 69–74.
- 9. Bocchi, V.; Foina, D.; Pochini, A.; Ungaro, R.; Andreetti, G. D. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 373–378.
- 10. Nakayama, T.; Ueda, M. J. Mater. Chem. 1999, 9, 697–702.
- 11. Hervé, G.; Hahn, D. U.; Hervé, A. C.; Goodworth, K. J.; Hill, A. M.; Hailes, H. C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 427–435.
- 12. Loiseau, F. A.; Hill, A. M.; Hii, K. K. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 639–647.
- 13. Geraci, C.; Piattelli, M.; Chessari, G.; Neri, P. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 5143–5151.
- 14. For a discussion of the formation of alkali metal templates with calix[8]arene, see: Consoli, G. M. L.; Cunsolo, F.; Geraci, C.; Neri, P. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1605–1608 and references therein.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>15. Gutsche, C. D.; See, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 4527– 4539.
- 16. Formation of a-CHCA adduct ions is a well-known phenomenon for the analysis of macromolecules by MALDI-TOF, see: Zhu, X.; Papayannopoulo, I. A. J. Biomol. Tech. 2003, 14, 298–307.
- 17. For the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, accurate integration between methylene and aromatic protons (both broad and featureless multiplets) was difficult to achieve due to the large difference in

integral values (up to 784:16).  $^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopy is also proving to be difficult—essentially, only the resonance signals of the ethylene glycol chain were observable.

- 18. Weber, E. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1983, 770–801.
- 19. Samanta, D.; Sawoo, S.; Patra, S.; Ray, M.; Salmain, M.; Sarkar, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5581–5590.
- 20. Schmidt, M.; Amstutz, R.; Crass, G.; Seebach, D. Chem. Ber. 1980, 113, 1691–1707.
- 21. Frere, Y.; Gramain, P. New J. Chem. 1986, 10, 327–331.